

SBOM with the Yocto Project for Automotive Grade Linux

Intro and Lessons Learned

Jan-Simon Möller, FOSDEM 2023



AUTOMOTIVE
GRADE **LINUX**

OPEN SOURCE SOFTWARE FOR CONNECTED VEHICLES



Intro



Jan-Simon Möller

AGL Release Manager

YP Board Member for AGL

jsmoeller@linuxfoundation.org



Topics



- Automotive Grade Linux in a nutshell
- SBOM Tools we evaluate(d)
 - meta-spdxscanner
 - create-spdx
- What we've learned



Automotive Grade Linux in a nutshell



Automotive Grade Linux



- Open Source Linux Platform for different use cases in the car
 - Infotainment , Instrument Cluster, HUD
 - Telematics, Software Defined Vehicle (SDV)
- Code first
- AGL "Unified Code Base" is the Software Platform
 - It is built using The Yocto Project

Tools we evaluate(d)



meta-spdxscanner



- Within AGL one of the member companies started to work on license compliance and evaluated multiple solutions, developed own connectors. We helped and encouraged them to work upstream.
- That work is available on git.yoctoproject.org as "meta-spdxscanner".
- Essentially this is a "Post-Mortem"-SBOM approach.
- Some of it is still useful, some maybe not.
- It pre-dates the now built-in `create-spdx.bbclass`.

meta-spdxscanner continued



- It requires a fossology instance to upload and run scanners against the source code. Other engines are also supported.
- It then presents the results for human review and correction/approval
- can output the curated data as SPDX
- and be paired with SW360

create-spdx.bbclass



- Built-in support for spdx files was added to upstream Yocto Project.
 - Tnx Joshua et al.
- It does **not** require an external server and uses the already available metadata.
- Runs during the build phase and files are part of the output folder

create-spdx.bbclass continued



- Enabled by default now for our releases
- E.g.:
<https://download.automotivelinux.org/AGL/release/needlefish/14.0.3/gemux86-64/deploy/images/gemux86-64/agl-demo-platform-crosssdk-gemux86-64-20230123140226.spdx.json>



What we've learned



Lessons learned - I

- Post-mortem analysis requires
 - more CPU
 - more eyes
 - more coordination (what scan is what and where)
- Level of trust ?!
 - Maybe the extra eyes is exactly what you want or are required to do !



Lessons learned - II



- Analysis during the build is faster and needs less additional resources
- At this stage we know what goes into the packages and into the images. If I just review a scan of a tarball, we do not know.
- Metadata vs. scan+human review.

Lessons Learned - III

- We do use create-spdx now by default !
- So, we can output spdx files - great ... what now ?
- TLDR:
 - work to do on:
 - tooling
 - interaction
 - presentation/visualization

Looking forward to the presentations and discussions here in the devroom !

Q/A



Thank You!

