Cappulada:
What we've learned
And why binding C++ is hard

Johannes Kliemann

FOSDEM, Brussels, 2020-02-01

Recall Cappulada 2019
Goals

Componolit
Secure Systems Engineering

- Automatically bind C++ APIs to Ada
- Maintain API layout and type safety
- Maintain semantically appropriate mappings
- Generate mangled symbols
- Detect and avoid name collisions
- Be SPARK compatible where possible
- **Be better than existing solutions**

- **Existing solutions (GCC)**
  - No template support
  - No proper support to use non-valid identifiers in Ada
  - Generates uncompilable code
  - No automatic handling of constructors/destructors
  - Fixing requires maintaining a fork in the long term

Recall Cappulada 2019
Achievements and Shortcomings

Componolit
Secure Systems Engineering

- **Achievements**
  - Templates
  - Classes, namespaces, nesting
  - Inheritance, with virtual classes
  - Builtin types, typedefs, enums, arrays, pointers, references
  - Member functions, function pointers
  - Private, public, protected scopes
  - Mangling

- **Shortcomings**
  - Partial template specialization
  - Typedefs on specific types
  - Auto keyword
  - Operator overloads
  - Function templates
  - Merging multiple equally named namespaces
  - Destructors
  - Multiple inheritance

Why is it hard?
Complexity and Semantics

Componolit
Secure Systems Engineering

- **Both languages are complex**
  - Ada 2012 Standard has ~1300 pages
  - C++17 Standard has ~1600 pages
  - C++ builds upon C so we need to support C, too
  - C11 Standard has ~700 pages

- **Inherent semantic differences**
  - Arrays: separate type in Ada, builtin construct in C++
  - C++ templates can be used for meta programming, Ada generics cannot
  - Both have different calling conventions

Componolit
Secure Systems Engineering

What doesn’t work?

What doesn't work?
Using Ada Generics with C++ Templates

Componolit
Secure Systems Engineering

- Templates in C++ are static
- Linker symbol is generated from the template arguments
- Template arguments are always static

```
template <typename T>
class A
{
   void inc(T *t);
};

A<int>::inc(int *t);
_ZN1AIiE3incEPi
```

What doesn’t work?
Using Ada Generics with C++ Templates

Componolit
Secure Systems Engineering

```
generic
   type T is private
package A is

   type Class is limited null record with Convention => CPP;

   procedure Inc (This : in out Class; X : in out T) with
      Import, Convention => CPP,
      External_Name => “_ZN1AI” & M (X) & ”E3incEP” & M (X);
      -- _ZN1AIiE3incEPi

end A;
```

```
a.ads: entity for aspect "Import" must be a static expression
a.ads: non-static function call (RM 4.9(6,18))
```

What doesn't work?
Using Ada Generics with C++ Templates

Componolit
Secure Systems Engineering

- **Theory**
  - Overloading M for Mangling
- **Practice**
  - Overloading doesn't work on private types
  - Return value of M is not static
  - Generic formal parameters are never considered static
- **Potential Solution**
  - Preprocessor

```
function M (X : Integer)
   return String
is ("i");
```

What doesn’t work?
C++ Pass by Value

Componolit
Secure Systems Engineering

```
type A is limited record
   X : Integer;
end record with
   Import,
   Convention => CPP;

function Con return A with
   Import, Convention => CPP,
   External_Name => “...”;
pragma CPP_Constructor(Con);
```

```
class A
{
   public:
      int X;
      A();
};
```

What doesn’t work?
C++ Pass by Value

Componolit
Secure Systems Engineering

```
procedure Print (X : A) with
   Import,
   Convention => CPP,
   External_Name => “...”;
```

```
class A
{
   public:
      int X;
      A();
};

void print(A a);
```

What doesn't work?
C++ Pass by Value

Componolit
Secure Systems Engineering

- **Problem: A** will be passed by reference from Ada but expected by value in C++
- **Considered Solution:** Import **Print** with **Convention C_Pass_By_Copy**
- **Problem: C_Pass_By_Copy** Convention allowed only for record type
- **Potential Solution:** Define a record identical to the class
- **Problem:** Unable to convert between both safely (unlike in C++)

What doesn’t work?
Automatic destructor calling

Componolit
Secure Systems Engineering

- Automatically called destructors are not supported in the compiler
- Destructor could be called manually
- Controlled objects can implement this functionality

What have we learned?

Componolit
Secure Systems Engineering

- Even if everything fits it’s much work
- Some things could in theory work
  - With really high effort
  - With additional tools
  - At the cost of usability and safety
- Some things just won’t work at all

Don’t you fear that you import the weirdness of C++ into Ada?

Componolit
Secure Systems Engineering

YES!

Questions?

Componolit
Secure Systems Engineering

Gneiss: A Nice Component Framework in SPARK

Sunday 12:00 K.4.601 (Microkernel devroom)

Johannes Kliemann
kliemann@componolit.com

@Componolit · componolit.com · github.com/Componolit