How to make professional media users about FOSS

Kieran Kunhya

Structure

- Introduction to (live) broadcasting
- Technical issues
- Non-technical issues

Highly simplified Broadcast Chain



Why bother?

- Get FOSS used in mission-critical roles delivering to millions
- Make FOSS software reach a professional quality

And then better than proprietary alternatives

- Increased flexibility (reconfigurability)
- People interested in using FOSS

Why would a broadcaster look at FOSS?

• They have no money

Usually niche channels (e.g religious, ethnic, independent media)

They have money but can't find anyone to give it to

Nothing available for their needs

Broadcast chain in the eyes of some

- Often using consumer grade interface (HDMI)
- Shaky design that might look good in their eyes
- Avoid these people setting agenda for project



Focus on the right audience

- In an ideal world broadcast FOSS will suit needs of everyone
 - Reality is need to focus on mainstream broadcast FOSS
- Make things that can be easily inserted into the broadcast chain

FOSS has the ingredients, but few recipes?

- FFmpeg has fast decoders (inc professional profiles), filtering. (de)muxing less good.
- x264 top class H.264 encoder (Blu-ray, broadcast etc)
- Most low-mid range products use FFmpeg

 Often without correct licensing (let alone attribution)

Ticket	Summary	Status	Owner	Туре	Priority 🔺	Component
#2908	Blue Lucy Media Player violates GPL and hasenable-nonfree	new		license violation	normal	avcodec
#3157	Cinec Violates the GPL	new		license violation	normal	undetermined
#3325	CodecVisa violates GPL	new		license violation	normal	undetermined
#3454	Emotion Systems violates GPL	reopened		license violation	normal	undetermined
#3467	Sigala Media violates GPL	new		license violation	normal	undetermined
#3790	IP Video Transcoding violates GPL	new		license violation	normal	undetermined
#3802	Telestream Wirecast Violates GPL	new		license violation	normal	undetermined
#4050	Archimedia Master Player violates the GPL	new		license violation	normal	undetermined
#4114	Drastic Preview Pro violates the GPL	open		license violation	normal	undetermined
#4177	Digimetrics Hydra Player violates the GPL	reopened		license violation	normal	undetermined

Often so simple to provide recipes

Authoring a professional Blu-ray Disc with x264

Navigation	Gett
 Getting Started with x264 	10
1080i	
1080p 480i (NTSC)	Prir
480p (NTSC) 576i (PAL)	108
576p (PAL) 720p	x264
Issues with certain players/authoring applications	x264
Issues with certain	tran

tting Started with x264 >)80p	
mary and Secondary Streams	

080p23.976 / 1080p24

264 --bitrate XXXXX --preset veryslow --tune film --bluray-compat --vbv-maxrate 40000 --vbv-bufsize 30000 --level 4.1 --keyint 24 --open-gop --slices 4 --colorprim "bt709" --'ansfer "bt709" --colormatrix "bt709" --sar 1:1 --pass 1 -o out.264 input.file

Google Analytics

x264 --bitrate XXXXX --preset veryslow --tune film --bluray-compat --vbv-maxrate 40000 --vbv-bufsize 30000 --level 4.1 --keyint 24 --open-gop --slices 4 --colorprim "bt709" -transfer "bt709" --colormatrix "bt709" --sar 1:1 --pass 2 -o out.264 input.file



TECHNICAL ISSUES

Timestamps

- Broadcasting is constant framerate

 One case of variable framerate is special cased
- Most (all?) FOSS tools are variable framerate
- VFR has a big problem
 - What is the duration of the last frame?
 - Splicing problems, adaptive streaming problems etc
- Loss of precision in timestamps
 - e.g NTSC 33.33... period in a millisecond timebase
 0, 33, 67, 100, 133, 167

Timestamps (2)

- In MPEG-TS timestamps are special
 - DTS = CPB Removal Time, PTS = DPB Removal Time
 - Few OSS programs implement this correctly
 - They assume arbitrary remuxing anything into MPEG-TS
- Timestamps can be negative
 - e.g PTS of zero with b-frames means negative DTS– uint64 t pts = wrong!
- Should really be using PTS and duration

Analogue Legacies

- Analogue clocks derived from constant framerates
 - Can go black-and-white otherwise



- (Whether you like it or not) most broadcasting is interlaced.
- Aspect ratio legacy
 - Aspect ratios apply to the **analogue** samples not the digital data (whether you like it or not)

Wrong Interlaced Chroma Upsampling









NON-TECHNICAL ISSUES

Standards Bodies

- Broadcast is heavily standards based
- Standards can cost a lot of money
 - Require you to buy dozens
 - Corporate licences available but meaningless for OSS
- Lack of return path for reporting issues/ambiguity
 - MPEG has good return path (jvt-experts, mp4-tech mailing lists)
 - SMPTE has no way of reporting
 - Leads to major interoperability problems
 - No place to discuss edge cases

Patents

- Many processes may or may not be patented (IANAL)
 - Broadcasters assume worst and expect equipment to have royalties paid
 - Lots of FUD sadly some spread by OSS orgs
- We know where we stand
 - Source code not patentable

Support

 Broadcasters need commercial support (and someone to blame when it goes wrong!)

The future

- FOSS broadcast lacks a "LAMP Stack"
 - Low level enough to have precise control
 - Simple enough that detailed knowledge
 - e.g zero understanding of HTTP to use LAMP
 - Reliable
- This is HARD