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I will be talking from my point of view

overview

- types of tests I do
- what they are trying to achieve
- what bugs I often hit
- ideas I have for improvement
- Q/A + Discussion
- sanity tests
  - need to be quick and easy to review
  - does it work at all? (memcheck)
  - do other tools work? gdb server? client requests?
  - run few system programs under valgrind

- stress tests
  - some real world usage
  - might be time consuming and not so easy to review
  - output with/without valgrind should be the same
    - picture transformation, audio encoding/decoding, compression
  - firefox, openoffice
types of tests I do (2)

- testsuite
  - each arch supported
  - for installed packages
  - comparative mode
  - a lot of failures :

- regression tests
  - various bugs from the past
the point is not just to find bugs

- verification of fixes
- regression avoidance
- catching crashes of any kind

- much better to tests final packages

- being **fast** and **clear** is preferable
what bugs I often hit

- packaging problems
  - selinux; rpm scriptlets
  - rebuild troubles
- false positives
- crashes
  - bad instructions on the input
  - bad instructions on the output
  - hitting various limits
- behaviour of client program is changed
- typos in documentation
ideas for improvement

- some of our self-contained test cases could go to the testsuite
- comparing output (stress testing)
  - various compiler flags; brand new instructions; auto-vectorization
- testsuite could have less failures
  - preferably none if that is even feasible?
  - blacklist of “bad” cases?
- ability to automatically decide performance regression
- reuse gcc (or any other) testsuite in comparative mode
questions? suggestions?